

IPRC KIGALI

Integrated Polytechnic Regional College

Assessment of the Hype Model for Simulation of Water and Nutrients

By Dr Jean Nepo Namugize Lecturer at RP-IPRC Kigali Representative of IAHS ECC on WQ E-mail: jeannamugize@gmail.com

IWRM Conference , Kigali 21 March 2019 WATER for GROWTH RWANDA

Objective

To test HYPE in the upper reaches of the uMngeni Catchment, an area which is typical of rapidly developing conditions of southern Africa

- the simulation of streamflow and the concentration of dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN equates NH₄ + NO₃) and total phosphorus and
- provide insight into sources of the increased concentrations of DIN and TP and their spatial distribution in the catchment

Why the HYPE Model?

- A (semi-)distributed hydrological model for water and water quality developed at SMHI (Norrkoping, Sweden) Daily time steps (experiments on hourly time step), temperature and precipition as forcing.
- Integrated modules for water quality (N,P,TOC) + tracers (¹⁸O, conservative)
- Developed with focus on:
 - Prediction in Ungauged Basins (PUB)
 - Integration of water and water quality
 - Large model setups
 - $\circ~$ Use in production system

More details on the model: <u>http://hypeweb.smhi.se/model-water/</u>

Schematic illustration illustration of nutrient transport and turnover of nutrients within a sub-basin in the HYPE model

Fertilizers, Atmospheric Manure, Plant Evapo-Plant residues uptake transpiration deposition Rainfall, Denitrification Main Snowmelt river Surface N&P pools runoff Macro-V N&P pools pore Precipitation flow **Tile drain** Atmospheric Groundwater deposition Regional Denitrification N&P pools groundwater Lake flow outflow ∇ Groundwater Stream outflow depth Local 4..... = Nutrients river Main - = Water Regional river Sediments = Level groundwater flow Soil Lake Rivers

(Strömqvist et al., 2012)

Study area



Water resources management in the area



Land use and land cover and soil types



Flow direction in the catchment



HYPE Model input data for the catchment

	Data	Data type
1	Climatological data	Daily precipitation
		Daily air temperature
2	Geographic data	Sub-basin area
		Land use types
		Elevation/slope means
		Hydrographical network, stream drainage depth, main river length
3	Dam information	Depth, regulation rules, rating curve
		Soil layer depth and number of horizons, soil layer thickness, soil water
4	Soil data	holding capacity
		soil nutrient content (initial nutrient storage)
		Soil texture
5	Water quality	Measured daily streamflow
		weekly/monthly nutrient concentrations (dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN),
		soluble reactive phosphorus (SRP) and total phosphorus (TP))
6	Agricultural practices	Manure and inorganic fertilizer application, crop husbandry, timing and
		amount of fertilization, sowing and harvesting for the area
7	Water management	Sub-catchment fraction of irrigation Water withdrawn from the groundwater
8	Other source of nutrients	Flow from rural household not connected to the municipal wastewater works
		Discharge and concentration of DIN, SRP and TP
		Atmospheric deposition

Results and discussions

Streamflow simulation during the calibration period (1989-1995)



Results and discussions Simulation of streamflow (1961-1999)



Results and discussions Water quality: DIN (1989-1999)



Results and discussions Water quality: TP (1989-1999)



Seasonal variation of streamflow and nutrients in the catchment



Distribution maps of nutrient in sub-catchments



Conclusion

- The HYPE model was successfully tested in the catchment.
- The most important factors affecting the predictions of runoff in the model were crop coefficient (Kc), the recession coefficients of the two upper soil layers (rrcs1 and rrcs 2) and the variables related with the water storage of the soil (field capacity, wilting point and effective porosity).
- The most sensitive parameters in the simulation of DIN and TP were denitrification, the initial pools of nutrients, crop uptake and the mineralisation of decay of fastN and fastP.

Conclusion (ct'd)

- The model represented the water balance well.
- High flow events were captured well, with a general over-simulation of base flow events.
- An under-estimation of streamflow was identified in the outlet sub-catchments, due to a simplified spatial variation of evapotranspiration processes in the model.
- The model has provided acceptable simulations of streamflows, and the good fits between modelled and measured values, especially at the monthly time-step, where NSE values of ~ 0.7 were noted in four out of the nine sub-catchments.
- Across the catchment, TP concentrations and loads are released from subcatchments that have the major point-sources of pollution
- The model has represented the streamflow and its seasonal variation in the area well.
- The model outputs of average concentrations of DIN and TP and their spatial distribution reflects the reality in the catchment

Model caveats

- Simplification of the processes driving evapotranspiration in the model is a key challenge which affects the simulations of runoff in the catchment.
- The simplification of inter-catchment transfer, water abstraction and release in the model

Way forward: Application of HYPE to simulate streamflow in Nyabugogo catchment

- Collection of data has started in 2017
- Climate data, LULC and initial setup of the model in September 2017 was done at SMHI
- Still working on model input data.

Acknowledgements





water & sanitation

Department: Water and Sanitation REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA



WATER RESEARCH COMMISSION





UNIVERSITY OF KWAZULU-NATAL INYUVESI YAKWAZULU-NATALI

